

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/830,677	06/04/2001	Mookambeswaran Vijayalakshmi	206232USOPCT	9626	
22850	7590 06/03/2003				
•	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			KIM, SUN U		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1723	8	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A9-7
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/830,677	VIJAYALAKSHMI	ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		John Kim	1723	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ac	Idress
Period fo		V IC CET TO EVDIDE	: 2 MONTH(S) EDOM	
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failui - Any ro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, n y within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 n, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04.	June 2001 .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under			ne merits is
	on of Claims			
-	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideratior	1.	
	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 9</u> is/are allowed.			
·	Claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requiremen	t.	
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ 7	The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 June 2001</u> is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☒ ob	jected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examin	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2)	(a)).	Stage
14)[] A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	I application).
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedures the comment is made of a claim for domest			
Attachment				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ce of Informal Patent Application (PT r:	

Application/Control Number: 09/830,677 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "3" in figure 1, "4" in figure 2. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 2. The drawings are objected to because Figures 5A and 5B need to be labeled separately.

 A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered. References on pages 5 and 12 of the specification have not been considered.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 6-8 provide for the use of the device of claim 1, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,677

Art Unit: 1723

У.

6. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

- 7. Claims 1-5 and 9 are allowed.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
- J. Porath et al., Int. J. of Biochromatography, Vol. 3, No. 1, pages 9-17 (1997) (hereinafter referred to as Biochromatography reference) is considered the closest prior art.

 Biochromatography reference teaches a chromatographic affinity column using a gel (agarose) as a support to which an affinity ligand (Cu-iminodiacetate) is coupled (see page 13, last paragraph) and appears to have a molecular cut-off of 5kD 50kD. However, the affinity column of Biochromatography reference does not have a polymer grafted onto the gel (agarose) which in turn is coupled to an affinity ligand. A similar column having no grafted polymer is compared in the specification as comparative examples wherein the column does not have specificity for

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-2350. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:00 AM - 3:00 PM.

9 depend on allowable claim 1. Claim 4 depends on allowable claim 3.

beta2-microglobulin while the claimed affinity columns in claims 1 and 3 have specificity for

beta2-microglobulin (see page 9, lines 1-11; page 9, line 31 - page 10, line 19). Claims 2, 5 and

Page 3

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wanda Walker, can be reached on (703) 308-0457. The fax phone number for

official response after final action is (703) 872-9311, and the fax phone number for all other

official faxes is (703) 872-9310.

When sending a draft amendment by fax, please mark the paper as "DRAFT"; otherwise,

mark the paper "OFFICIAL". This will expedite the processing of the paper.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

J. Kim May 30, 2003